

SHOW THE

ANNEX A

Exchange of e-mails between DECC and NIA

From: (Office for Nuclear Development)

Sent: 25 August 2011 09:17

To:

Subject: RE: Greenpeace proposed JR of Nuclear NPS



Our lines (standard for this sort of situation):

"DECC has received a letter [under the pre-action protocol for judicial review] on behalf of Greenpeace in relation to the Nuclear National Policy Statement designated by the Secretary of State in July 2011.

"We are considering the points raised, but we are confident that the designation of the Nuclear National Policy Statement was lawful."

Happy for you to circulate. I don't think there's been any media interest yet.

From: [mailtol Sent: 24 August 2011 17:47

To: Office for Nuclear Development)

Subject: RE: Greenpeace proposed JR of Nuclear NPS



We discussed the potential industry input this afternoon; could we have a word tomorrow?

In the meantime I have put together the attached media lines for discussion with the utilities. Do you know what your people will be saying?



Nuclear National Policy Statement: Reactive Media lines for Judicial Review

- The Nuclear National Policy Statement was designated in July after an
 extensive process lasting almost 3 years. In addition to a serious of
 preliminary consultations on the procedure and strategic siting
 assessment, this included two public consultations on the draft NPS
 itself, as well as a series of regional public meetings.
- The industry has every confidence that the Government has considered all the issues properly.



- The statement was subject to parliamentary scrutiny in both Houses and approved by an overwhelming parliamentary majority: 267 votes for and only 14 against.
- It would not be appropriate to comment at this stage on Greenpeace's detailed case in the light of the pending court proceedings.



ANNEX B

Exchange of e-mails between DECC and NIA

From: (Office for Nuclear Development)

Sent: 07 September 2011 10:00

To:

Subject: RE: Greenpeace JR application



Documents are (after grounds / witness statement and draft grounds / correspondence with TSol):

- SoS statement to Parliament on designating NPSs.
- Final text of overarching NPS and Nuclear NPS.
- SoS letter to Weightman March 2011
- Minutes of NDF March 2011
 http://www.decc.gov.uk/en/content/cms/meeting_energy/nuclear/forums/develop_forum/develop_forum.aspx
- SoS press release after Fukushima
- Correspondence Greenpeace / Weightman
- Letter from Mark Higson to Weightman and response
- Letters from Greenpeace to DECC calling for Paris / Brussels and plutonium consultations to be suspended.
- Weightman interim report
- SoS press release following Weightman interim report
- NFLA press release following Weightman interim report
- Letter from EA to SoS.
- Letter from SoS to Weightman about Recommendations for Government in interim report and statement to Parliament.
- Justice Sullivan's judgement 2007
- 2007 White Paper on sustainable future.
- 2008 consultation on SSA process extract, and Government response
- Market Research Standards Board report on Greenpeace complaint
- Nuclear NPS and AoS 2009 version extracts.
- Extract from DECC website following close of 2009 / 2010 NPS consultation
- Coalition agreement
- Press release and extracts from 2010/2011 NPS consultation.
- Greenpeace website material on impact of Fukushima and various press articles including Guardian article on FOI request re Fukushima
- Weightman invitation to submit information on Fukushima
- John Large report to Greenpeace on Fukushima
- Weightman invitation to John Large to join Fukushima Panel
- Report of IAEA Safety meeting
- Letter from NDA official in personal capacity (to Weightman?) on danger of flooding.
- Draft SERCO submission to Weightman.
- Hirsch report on EPR and Fukushima http://www.greenpeace.org/france/PageFiles/266521/EPR_Report_Greenpeace.fr.pdf
- Government responses to NPS consultation and Parliament, June 2011
- Greenpeace responses to consultations 2007 2011

I've added links where they're available but might not be obvious where.



From: [mailto

Sent: 06 Sentember 2011 16:31

To:(Office for Nuclear Development)

Subject: Greenpeace JR application



Our lawyers have asked whether DECC has received a copy of Greenpeace's accompanying bundle of documents. Do you know the answer? It would be interesting to see the index on which they intend to rely.

Thanks





ANNEX C

Extract from note of meeting between DECC and EDF

Extract from flote of fileeting between DECC and EDF
(Office for Nuclear Development) Sent: 16 September 2011 13:23 To: Haye Hergen (Office for Nuclear Development): Higson Mark (Office for Nuclear Development); Development); Development); (Office for Nuclear Development) Subject: EDF / OND Challenge meeting, 15 September 2011
Summary of discussion
The Office for Nuclear Development updated EDF Energy or National Policy Statements
EDF Energy updated OND on Preliminary works, the temporary in
response to the Weightman report
Action points
 OND to send EDF a copy of the Defence Grounds for the NPS Judicial Review when this had been submitted to the court.
2. LEDF to send OND a short summary of the administrative costs of preparing the DCO application)
3. OND to consider the timetable for considering DCO application and reports back
4. OND to consider timetable presentation at the NDF meeting
5. EDF to send OND their letter to the IPC about transboundary consultation
6 PUB to consider a precipio Ministratal Indiana de Company
6. EUF to consider a possible Ministerial visit to the preliminary works.